AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 29, 2010

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2009–10 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2738

Introduced by Assembly Member Niello

February 19, 2010

An act to amend Section 11346.2 of the Government Code, relating to regulations.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2738, as amended, Niello. Regulations: agency statement of reasons.

Existing law, the Administrative Procedure Act, governs the procedure for the adoption, amendment, or repeal of regulations by state agencies and for the review of those regulatory actions by the Office of Administrative Law.

Existing law requires an agency to submit to the office, among other things, an initial statement of reasons for proposing the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation that includes, among other things, a description of reasonable alternatives to the regulation. Existing law requires, for a regulation that would mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment or prescribe specific actions or procedures, that the imposition of performance standards be considered as an alternative.

This bill would delete the requirement, in the case of a regulation that would mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment or prescribe specific actions or procedures, that the imposition of performance standards be considered as an alternative. This that would require the use of specific technologies or equipment, require that the agency take certain actions. Specifically, this bill would instead require

AB 2738 -2-

that the agency acknowledge that performance standards are generally the preferred alternative to mandating specific methods of compliance and would require the agency to, provide a justification for departing from that acknowledged preference, as specified and provide a detailed specification as to why certain technologies or equipment, or actions or procedures requiring the use of specific technologies or equipment, are necessary in order to meet the goals of the regulation. The bill would also require the agency to identify and describe the elements of a regulation that require, or may require through at least one alternative method of compliance, the use of specific technologies, equipment, or actions, or procedures that require the use of specific technologies or equipment, or other potentially proprietary compliance scheme, methodology, or process.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 11346.2 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

11346.2. Every agency subject to this chapter shall prepare, submit to the office with the notice of the proposed action as described in Section 11346.5, and make available to the public upon request, all of the following:

- (a) A copy of the express terms of the proposed regulation.
- (1) The agency shall draft the regulation in plain, straightforward language, avoiding technical terms as much as possible, and using a coherent and easily readable style. The agency shall draft the regulation in plain English.
- (2) The agency shall include a notation following the express terms of each California Code of Regulations section, listing the specific statutes or other provisions of law authorizing the adoption of the regulation and listing the specific statutes or other provisions of law being implemented, interpreted, or made specific by that section in the California Code of Regulations.
- (3) The agency shall use underline or italics to indicate additions to, and strikeout to indicate deletions from, the California Code of Regulations.

3 AB 2738

(b) An initial statement of reasons for proposing the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation. This statement of reasons shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following:

- (1) A statement of the specific purpose of each adoption, amendment, or repeal and the rationale for the determination by the agency that each adoption, amendment, or repeal is reasonably necessary to carry out the purpose for which it is proposed. Where the adoption or amendment of a regulation would mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment, a statement of the reasons why the agency believes these mandates or prescriptive standards are required.
- (2) An identification of each technical, theoretical, and empirical study, report, or similar document, if any, upon which the agency relies in proposing the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a regulation.
- (3) (A) A description of reasonable alternatives to the regulation and the agency's reasons for rejecting those alternatives. *In the case of a regulation that would mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment or prescribe specific actions or procedures, the imposition of performance standards shall be considered as an alternative.*
- (B) In the case of a regulation that would mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment or prescribe specific actions or procedures *that would require the use of specific technologies or equipment*, the agency shall do all of the following:
- (i) Acknowledge that the imposition of a performance standard is generally the preferred alternative to mandating specific methods of compliance.
- (ii) Identify and describe the elements of a regulation that require, or may require through at least one alternative method of compliance, the use of specific technologies, equipment, actions, or actions or procedures that would require the use of specific technologies or equipment, or other potentially proprietary compliance scheme, methodology, or process.
- (iii) Provide a justification for departing from the acknowledged preference of imposing performance standards and a detailed specification as to why certain technologies, equipment, actions, or actions or procedures—are required requiring the use of specific technologies or equipment, are necessary in order to meet the goals of the regulation, instead of imposing a performance standard.

AB 2738 —4—

(C) A description of reasonable alternatives to the regulation that would lessen any adverse impact on small business and the agency's reasons for rejecting those alternatives.

- (D) Notwithstanding, subparagraphs subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) an agency is not required to artificially construct alternatives, describe unreasonable alternatives, or justify why it has not described alternatives.
- (4) Facts, evidence, documents, testimony, or other evidence on which the agency relies to support an initial determination that the action will not have a significant adverse economic impact on business.
- (5) A department, board, or commission within the Environmental Protection Agency, the Resources Agency, or the Office of the State Fire Marshal shall describe its efforts, in connection with a proposed rulemaking action, to avoid unnecessary duplication or conflicts with federal regulations contained in the Code of Federal Regulations addressing the same issues. These agencies may adopt regulations different from federal regulations contained in the Code of Federal Regulations addressing the same issues upon a finding of one or more of the following justifications:
 - (A) The differing state regulations are authorized by law.
- (B) The cost of differing state regulations is justified by the benefit to human health, public safety, public welfare, or the environment.
- (c) A state agency that adopts or amends a regulation mandated by federal law or regulations, the provisions of which are identical to a previously adopted or amended federal regulation, shall be deemed to have complied with subdivision (b) if a statement to the effect that a federally mandated regulation or amendment to a regulation is being proposed, together with a citation to where an explanation of the provisions of the regulation can be found, is included in the notice of proposed adoption or amendment prepared pursuant to Section 11346.5. However, the agency shall comply fully with this chapter with respect to any provisions in the regulation that the agency proposes to adopt or amend that are different from the corresponding provisions of the federal regulation.